MAT360 Section Summary: 4.4

Composite Integration Schemes

1. Summary

We can continue to generate higher-order Newton-Cotes methods, but the cost is needing to use more and more points, and increasingly complex coefficients schemes. An alternative strategy is to break the interval $[a, b]$ into the elemental patches that we used to define the lower-order methods that we studied in section 4.3, and integrate over the patches and add up the results.

2. Definitions

• Composite scheme: divide the interval $[a, b]$ into n patches appropriate to a particular method, apply that method on the patches, then add the results to approximate the integral $\int_a^b f(x) dx$.

3. Theorems/Formulas

Composite Trapezoidal Rule: we divide the interval [a, b] into n panels, with $h = \frac{b-a}{n}$ $\frac{-a}{n}$. Then we add up the estimates on all the panels. The easiest way to do this is using linear algebra. Given the values of the function at the $n+1$ points $x_i, i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, the weight matrix for the calculation of a vector of individual estimates on each of the subpanels is given by

$$
W = \frac{h}{2} \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & & & \\ & 1 & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]
$$

The estimate for the integral is then given by adding them all up:

$$
I \approx [1 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 1]_{1 \times n} W_{n \times n+1} \overline{f}_{n+1 \times 1}
$$

The product $[11 \cdots 1]W$ is constant, so we can do that product once and for all:

$$
I \approx \frac{h}{2} [1 \ 2 \ 2 \ \cdots \ 2 \ 1] \overline{f}
$$

The pattern $[1\ 2\ 2\ \cdots\ 2\ 1]$ might be called the trapezoidal dance. We have the following theorem. In addition, we can calculate the error term by summing up the error terms for all the intervals: for the trapezoidal rule we make an "elemental error" of the form

$$
-\frac{h^3 f^{(2)}(\xi_i)}{12}
$$

on each panel. Hence the total error for the interval is

$$
E = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{h^3 f^{(2)}(\xi_i)}{12}
$$

which can be written as (see Theorem 4.5)

$$
E = -\frac{(b-a)h^2 f^{(2)}(\mu)}{12}
$$

by taking μ such that $f^{(2)}(\mu)$ is the mean value of the error terms (and, provided the second derivative is continuous, we can obtain the following by the mean value theorem):

$$
f^{(2)}(\mu) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f^{(2)}(\xi_i) = \frac{h}{b-a} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f^{(2)}(\xi_i)
$$

Theorem 4.5: Let $f \in C^2[a, b], h = \frac{b-a}{n}$ $\frac{-a}{n}$, and $x_i = a + ih$. Then $\exists \mu \in (a, b)$ for which

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = \frac{h}{2} [1 \ 2 \ 2 \ \cdots \ 2 \ 1] \overline{f} - \frac{b-a}{12} h^{2} f''(\mu)
$$

The Composite Midpoint rule has a similar result associated with it: again we divide the interval [a, b] into n panels, with $h = \frac{b-a}{n}$ $\frac{-a}{n}$, then add up the estimates on all the panels. Given the values of the function at the *n* points $x_i + \frac{h}{2}$ $\frac{h}{2}, i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\},\$ the weight matrix for the calculation of a vector of individual estimates on each of the subpanels is just

$$
W = hI_{n \times n}
$$

The estimate for the integral is then given by adding them all up:

$$
I \approx [1 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 1]_{1 \times n} W_{n \times n} \overline{f}_{n \times 1}
$$

to yield

$$
I \approx h[1 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 1 \ 1]\overline{f}
$$

or just

$$
I \approx h \cdot sum(f)
$$

We again calculate the error term by summing up the error terms for all the intervals: for the midpoint rule we make an "elemental error" of the form

$$
\frac{h^3 f^{(2)}(\xi_i)}{24}
$$

on each panel. Hence the total error for the interval is

$$
E = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{h^3 f^{(2)}(\xi_i)}{24}
$$

which can be written as (see Theorem 4.5)

$$
E = \frac{(b-a)h^2 f^{(2)}(\mu)}{24}
$$

Please note that in Theorem 4.6 the error term looks different: this is because our authors, in their finite wisdom, used a different value of h. This makes comparisons between methods a little dicey. In fact, midpoint is, as derived above, generally about twice as good as trapezoidal. Here is Theorem 4.6, nonetheless, in which they introduce points (indexed by -1, for example) that they will not use in the calculation. I've altered it slightly, to emphasize the 2h (because that's the fair comparison with trapezoidal):

Theorem 4.6: Let $f \in C^2[a, b]$, n be even, $h = \frac{b-a}{n+2}$, and $x_i = a + (i+1)h$ for $i = -1, ..., n+1$. Then $\exists \mu \in (a, b)$ for which

$$
\int_a^b f(x)dx = 2h[0\ 1\ 1\ \cdots\ 1\ 0]\overline{f} + \frac{b-a}{24}(2h)^2f''(\mu)
$$

Composite Simpson's Rule: dividing the interval [a, b] into n panels (but $2n + 1$ points $x_i, i = 0, ..., n$, with stepsize $h = \frac{b-a}{n}$ $\frac{-a}{n}$: on each we use elemental Simpson's, so that we get the following $n \times (2n+1)$ matrix that multiplies the vector of points $\overline{f} = (f(x_0), \ldots, f(x_n))$:

$$
W = \frac{h}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 1 & & & & \\ & & 1 & 4 & 1 & & & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & & 1 & 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times 2n+1}
$$

The estimate is then given by

$$
I \approx [1 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 1]_{1 \times n} W_{n \times 2n+1} \overline{f}_{2n+1 \times 1}
$$

As usual, we can do that product once and for all:

$$
I \approx \frac{h}{6} [1 \ 4 \ 2 \ 4 \ 2 \ \cdots \ 2 \ 4 \ 2 \ 4 \ 1] \overline{f}
$$

I call this the "1-4-2-4-2-step": just one of the classic dances that arise in numerical analysis.

Example: #12, p. 204

The derivation of the error terms is a wee bit more complicated: for Simpson's rule we make an "elemental error" of the form

$$
-\frac{(h/2)^5 f^{(4)}(\xi_i)}{90}
$$

on each panel (remember that I'm using the same step-size throughout: the text's value of h would is my $h/2$. Hence the total error for the interval is

$$
E = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{h^5 f^{(4)}(\xi_i)}{90 \cdot 2^5}
$$

which can be written as (see Theorem 4.4)

$$
E = -\frac{(b-a)(h/2)^4 f^{(4)}(\mu)}{180}
$$

or

$$
E = -\frac{h^2(b-a)}{12} \left[\frac{h^2 f^{(4)}(\mu)}{240} \right]
$$

So that we'd expect Simpson's to be about $\frac{h^2}{240}$ as good as trapezoidal, given that the respective derivatives are on the same order, and that we're comparing n panels to n panels.

Now, let's look at Simpson's error term (for example) in a slightly different way:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^n h f^{(4)}(\xi_i)
$$

is a Riemann sum for the integral

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f^{(4)}(x) dx
$$

so that

$$
\int_a^b f^{(4)}(x)dx = f^{(3)}(b) - f^{(3)}(a)
$$

so that

$$
E \approx -\frac{(h/2)^4}{180} (f^{(3)}(b) - f^{(3)}(a))
$$

Similar tricks works for the other elemental rules. This is nice, and gives us a guess for the size of the error; but it's not so helpful for bounding an error. For that, the original form is preferred.

Example: #20, p. 205

Example: #24, p. 205