# MAT360 Section Summary: 4.4

Composite Integration Schemes

#### 1. Summary

We can continue to generate higher-order Newton-Cotes methods, but the cost is needing to use more and more points, and increasingly complex coefficients schemes. An alternative strategy is to break the interval [a, b] into the elemental patches that we used to define the lower-order methods that we studied in section 4.3, and integrate over the patches and add up the results.

### 2. Definitions

• Composite scheme: divide the interval [a, b] into n patches appropriate to a particular method, apply that method on the patches, then add the results to approximate the integral  $\int_a^b f(x) dx$ .

## 3. Theorems/Formulas

**Composite Trapezoidal Rule**: we divide the interval [a, b] into n panels, with  $h = \frac{b-a}{n}$ . Then we add up the estimates on all the panels. The easiest way to do this is using linear algebra. Given the values of the function at the n + 1 points  $x_i, i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ , the weight matrix for the calculation of a vector of individual estimates on each of the subpanels is given by

$$W = \frac{h}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & & & \\ & 1 & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The estimate for the integral is then given by adding them all up:

$$I \approx [1 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 1]_{1 \times n} W_{n \times n+1} \overline{f}_{n+1 \times 1}$$

The product  $[11 \cdots 1]W$  is constant, so we can do that product once and for all:

$$I \approx \frac{h}{2} [1 \ 2 \ 2 \ \cdots \ 2 \ 1] \overline{f}$$

The pattern  $[1 \ 2 \ 2 \ \cdots \ 2 \ 1]$  might be called the trapezoidal dance. We have the following theorem. In addition, we can calculate the error term by summing up the error terms for all the intervals: for the trapezoidal rule we make an "elemental error" of the form

$$-\frac{h^3 f^{(2)}(\xi_i)}{12}$$

on each panel. Hence the total error for the interval is

$$E = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{h^3 f^{(2)}(\xi_i)}{12}$$

which can be written as (see Theorem 4.5)

$$E = -\frac{(b-a)h^2 f^{(2)}(\mu)}{12}$$

by taking  $\mu$  such that  $f^{(2)}(\mu)$  is the mean value of the error terms (and, provided the second derivative is continuous, we can obtain the following by the mean value theorem):

$$f^{(2)}(\mu) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f^{(2)}(\xi_i) = \frac{h}{b-a} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f^{(2)}(\xi_i)$$

**Theorem 4.5**: Let  $f \in C^2[a, b]$ ,  $h = \frac{b-a}{n}$ , and  $x_i = a + ih$ . Then  $\exists \mu \in (a, b)$  for which

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = \frac{h}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \overline{f} - \frac{b-a}{12} h^{2} f''(\mu)$$

The **Composite Midpoint rule** has a similar result associated with it: again we divide the interval [a, b] into n panels, with  $h = \frac{b-a}{n}$ , then add up the estimates on all the panels. Given the values of the function at the n points  $x_i + \frac{h}{2}$ ,  $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ , the weight matrix for the calculation of a vector of individual estimates on each of the subpanels is just

$$W = hI_{n \times n}$$

The estimate for the integral is then given by adding them all up:

$$I \approx [1 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 1]_{1 \times n} W_{n \times n} \overline{f}_{n \times 1}$$

to yield

$$I \approx h[1 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 1 \ 1]\overline{f}$$

or just

$$I \approx h \cdot sum(\overline{f})$$

We again calculate the error term by summing up the error terms for all the intervals: for the midpoint rule we make an "elemental error" of the form

$$\frac{h^3 f^{(2)}(\xi_i)}{24}$$

on each panel. Hence the total error for the interval is

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{h^3 f^{(2)}(\xi_i)}{24}$$

which can be written as (see Theorem 4.5)

$$E = \frac{(b-a)h^2 f^{(2)}(\mu)}{24}$$

Please note that in Theorem 4.6 the error term looks different: this is because our authors, in their finite wisdom, used a different value of h. This makes comparisons between methods a

little dicey. In fact, midpoint is, as derived above, generally about twice as good as trapezoidal. Here is Theorem 4.6, nonetheless, in which they introduce points (indexed by -1, for example) that they will not use in the calculation. I've altered it slightly, to emphasize the 2h (because that's the fair comparison with trapezoidal):

**Theorem 4.6**: Let  $f \in C^2[a, b]$ , n be even,  $h = \frac{b-a}{n+2}$ , and  $x_i = a + (i+1)h$  for  $i = -1, \ldots, n+1$ . Then  $\exists \mu \in (a, b)$  for which

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = 2h[0 \ 1 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 1 \ 0]\overline{f} + \frac{b-a}{24}(2h)^{2}f''(\mu)$$

**Composite Simpson's Rule**: dividing the interval [a, b] into n panels (but 2n + 1 points  $x_i$ , i = 0, ..., n), with stepsize  $h = \frac{b-a}{n}$ : on each we use elemental Simpson's, so that we get the following  $n \times (2n + 1)$  matrix that multiplies the vector of points  $\overline{f} = (f(x_0), ..., f(x_n))$ :

$$W = \frac{h}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 1 & & & \\ & 1 & 4 & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & & 1 & 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times 2n+1}$$

The estimate is then given by

$$I \approx [1 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 1]_{1 \times n} W_{n \times 2n+1} \overline{f}_{2n+1 \times 1}$$

As usual, we can do that product once and for all:

$$I \approx \frac{h}{6} [1 \ 4 \ 2 \ 4 \ 2 \ \cdots \ 2 \ 4 \ 2 \ 4 \ 1] \overline{f}$$

I call this the "1-4-2-4-2-step": just one of the classic dances that arise in numerical analysis.

#### **Example**: #12, p. 204

The derivation of the error terms is a wee bit more complicated: for Simpson's rule we make an "elemental error" of the form

$$-\frac{(h/2)^5 f^{(4)}(\xi_i)}{90}$$

on each panel (remember that I'm using the same step-size throughout: the text's value of h would is my h/2). Hence the total error for the interval is

$$E = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{h^5 f^{(4)}(\xi_i)}{90 \cdot 2^5}$$

which can be written as (see Theorem 4.4)

$$E = -\frac{(b-a)(h/2)^4 f^{(4)}(\mu)}{180}$$

or

$$E = -\frac{h^2(b-a)}{12} \left[\frac{h^2 f^{(4)}(\mu)}{240}\right]$$

So that we'd expect Simpson's to be about  $\frac{h^2}{240}$  as good as trapezoidal, given that the respective derivatives are on the same order, and that we're comparing n panels to n panels.

Now, let's look at Simpson's error term (for example) in a slightly different way:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n hf^{(4)}(\xi_i)$$

is a Riemann sum for the integral

$$\int_{a}^{b} f^{(4)}(x) dx$$

so that

$$\int_{a}^{b} f^{(4)}(x)dx = f^{(3)}(b) - f^{(3)}(a)$$

so that

$$E \approx -\frac{(h/2)^4}{180} (f^{(3)}(b) - f^{(3)}(a))$$

Similar tricks works for the other elemental rules. This is nice, and gives us a guess for the size of the error; but it's not so helpful for bounding an error. For that, the original form is preferred.

**Example:** #20, p. 205

**Example:** #24, p. 205