

## Number Theory Section Summary: 13.1 Fibonacci Numbers

### 1. Summary

Leonardo de Pisa (1180-1250?), better known as Fibonacci, wrote the *Liber Abaci*, in which he included a problem about rabbits:

*A man put one pair of rabbits in a certain place entirely surrounded by a wall. How many pairs of rabbits can be produced from that pair in a year, if the nature of these rabbits is such that every month each pair bears a new pair which from the second month on becomes productive?*

Ignoring the terrible incestuous implications, the resulting sequence of numbers of pairs of rabbits is known as the **Fibonacci numbers**:

$$1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, 233, 377, \dots$$

This works out to the recursive sequence

$$u_n = u_{n-1} + u_{n-2}$$

for  $n \geq 3$ , where  $u_1 = u_2 = 1$ , the first known recursive definition in mathematics.

### 2. Theorems

**Theorem 13.1:** For the Fibonacci sequence,  $\gcd(u_n, u_{n+1}) = 1$  for every  $n \geq 1$ .

Proof: direct, and using lemma, p. 27.

**Theorem 13.2:** For  $m \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 1$ ,  $u_m | u_{mn}$ .

Proof: by induction on  $n$  (straightforward, using (1)).

**Lemma:** If  $m = qn + r$ , then  $\gcd(u_m, u_n) = \gcd(u_r, u_n)$

**Theorem 13.3:** The greatest common divisor of two Fibonacci numbers is again a Fibonacci number; specifically  $\gcd(u_m, u_n) = u_d$  where  $d = \gcd(m, n)$ .

**Corollary:** In the Fibonacci sequence,  $u_m | u_n$  if and only if  $m | n$  for  $n \geq m \geq 3$ .

### 3. Properties/Tricks/Hints/Etc.

- For every prime  $p$ , there are infinitely many Fibonacci numbers that are divisible by  $p$ , equally spaced along the Fibonacci sequence.
- It is not known if there are infinitely many prime Fibonacci numbers.
- 

$$u_{m+n} = u_{m-1}u_n + u_m u_{n+1} \quad (1)$$

Proof: by induction on  $n$ .

---

**Theorem 13.1 :**  $\gcd(u_n, u_{n+1}) = 1 \quad \forall n \geq 1$

Proof:

Let  $d = \gcd(u_n, u_{n+1})$ . Since

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + u_{n-1},$$

$$u_{n-1} = u_{n+1} - u_n$$

So if  $d | u_{n+1}$  &  $d | u_n$ , then  $d | u_{n-1}$ .

$$d \leq \gcd(u_{n-1}, u_n)$$

Do this  $n+1$  more times, &

$$d \leq \gcd(u_1, u_2) = 1$$



But  $u_m | u_m$ , +  $u_m | u_{mk}$  by the inductive hypothesis, so  $u_m | u_{m(k+1)}$ . ✓

Q.E.D.

---

Lemma: If  $m = qn + r$ , then  
 $\gcd(u_m, u_n) = \gcd(u_r, u_n)$ .

Let  $d = \gcd(u_m, u_n)$ . Note that

$$u_m = u_{qn+r} = \underbrace{u_{q-1} u_r}_a + \underbrace{u_q u_{r+1}}_c \quad b = u_n$$

Claim:  $\gcd(a+c, b) = \gcd(c, b)$  when  $b | c$ .

Let  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ . Thus  $d | b$ , +  $d | c$ .

Hence  $d | a+c$ . Therefore  $d \leq D = \gcd(a+c, b)$ .

$$\exists (\alpha, \beta) \quad D = \alpha(a+c) + \beta b \\ = \alpha a + b(\alpha c' + \beta) \quad \text{where } c = c'b$$

Clearly  $d | D$ . So we could write  $D = dd'$ .

Can we show that  $D | a$  +  $D | b$ ? If so,  $D | d$ ,

and  $D \leq d \Rightarrow d = D$ .

$D | b$  as  $\gcd(a+c, b)$ . So  $b = Db'$

$$D | a+c, \text{ so } a+c = D\varphi \quad + \\ a = D\varphi - c = D\varphi - c'b$$

$$= D\varphi - c'Db'$$

$$= D(\varphi - b'c'), \text{ so } D | a.$$

Q.E.D.

---

Therefore, by the claim,

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \gcd(u_n, u_n) \\ &= \gcd(u_{q_{n-1}}u_r + u_{q_n}u_{r+1}, u_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$d = \gcd(u_{q_{n-1}}u_r, u_n)$$

---

Claim:  $\gcd(u_{q_{n-1}}, u_n) = 1$

Know:  $u_n \mid u_{q_n}$ , and  $\gcd(u_{q_{n-1}}, u_{q_n}) = 1$ .

Conclude that

$$\gcd(u_{q_{n-1}}, u_n) = 1$$

Otherwise, if it were  $d \neq 1$ , then

$d \mid u_n$  and  $d \mid u_{q_{n-1}}$ ; since  $u_n \mid u_{q_n}$ ,  
 $d \mid u_{q_n}$ , contradicting  
 $\gcd(u_{q_{n-1}}, u_{q_n}) = 1$ .

---

Claim:  $\gcd(a, c) = 1 \Rightarrow$

$$\gcd(a, bc) = \gcd(a, b)$$

Given  $\gcd(a, c) = 1$ , and let  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ .

$$d \leq \gcd(a, bc) = s$$

Suppose  $d < s$  (but remember that  $d \mid s$ ).

Certainly  $s \mid a$ ,  $s \nmid b$ . So there's some  
prime factor of  $s$ ,  $p$ , that divides  $c$ .

Since  $s \mid a$ , so does  $p$ :  $p \mid a$ .

$p \leq \gcd(a, c)$ , a contradiction.

---

$$d = \gcd(u_{q_{n-1}r}, u_n)$$

$$\gcd(u_{q_{n-1}}, u_n) = 1$$

$\therefore d = \gcd(u_r, u_n)$  by the claim,  
establishing the lemma.

---

Theorem 13.3:

$$\gcd(u_m, u_n) = u_{\gcd(m, n)}$$

WLOG assume  $m \geq n$ .

Find  $\gcd(m, n)$

$$m = q_1 n + r_1$$

$$n = q_2 r_1 + r_2$$

$\vdots$

$$r_{n-2} = q_n r_{n-1} + \boxed{r_n} = \gcd(m, n)$$

$$r_{n-1} = q_{n+1} r_n + 0 \Rightarrow r_n \mid r_{n-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(u_m, u_n) &= \gcd(u_n, u_{r_1}) = \dots = \gcd(u_{r_{n-1}}, u_{r_n}) \\ &= u_{r_n} \quad (\text{since } r_n \mid r_{n-1}, u_{r_n} \mid u_{r_{n-1}} \\ &= \gcd(m, n) \quad \text{by Thm 13.2} \end{aligned}$$


---

#4/6 p 276  $u_{n+5} \equiv 3u_n \pmod{5}$

$$u_{n+5} = u_{n+4} + u_{n+3}$$

$$= (u_{n+3} + u_{n+2}) + (u_{n+2} + u_{n+1})$$

$$= u_{n+2} + u_{n+1} + (u_{n+1} + u_n)^2 + u_{n+1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= u_{n+2} + 4u_{n+1} + 2u_n \\ &= 5u_{n+1} + 3u_n \end{aligned}$$

w/o detour  
w/ detour

$$u_{n+5} \equiv 5u_{n+1} + 3u_n \pmod{5}$$

$$\equiv 3u_n \pmod{5}$$

So  $u_5, u_{10}, u_{15}, \dots$  are all divisible by 5 ( $= u_5$ ).

#5 Show that

$$u_1^2 + u_2^2 + \dots + u_n^2 = u_n u_{n+1}$$

[Hint:  $n \geq 2$   $u_n^2 = u_n u_{n+1} - u_n u_{n-1}$ ]

$$= u_n (u_{n+1} - u_{n-1})$$

$$= u_n$$

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + u_{n-1}$$

$$u_n = u_{n+1} - u_{n-1}$$

~~$$u_1^2 = u_1 u_2$$

$$u_2^2 = u_2 u_3 - u_2 u_1$$

$$u_3^2 = u_3 u_4 - u_3 u_2$$

$$u_4^2 = u_4 u_5 - u_4 u_3$$~~

Prove formally by induction, base case  $n=2$ .

Fibonacci Nim

$n = 20$  sticks

$$\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ \hline 18 \\ 1 \\ \hline 17 \\ 2 \\ \hline 15 \\ 2 \\ \hline 13 \\ 3 \\ \hline 10 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 2 \\ \hline 8 \\ 1 \\ \hline 7 \\ 2 \\ \hline 5 \\ 1 \\ \hline 4 \\ 1 \\ \hline 3 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ 2 \\ \hline 0 \end{array} \text{ I won!}$$

# 8 p276

$$u_{24} + u_{36}$$

Find  $u_k / u_k | u_{24}$  and  $u_k | u_{36}$ .

Certainly  $u_{12} = \gcd(u_{24}, u_{36})$  is one.

---

Thm 13.2:  $u_{mn}$  is divisible by  $u_m$ .

$$u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_6, u_{12}$$

---

Fibonacci Nim - how does it work?

Claim - If you start w/  $N$  non-Fibonacci,  
 & use the strategy of always  
 taking the smallest in the  
 sum of non-consecutive  
 Fibonacci's, you're guaranteed  
 victory!

Question - If I start w/ a Fibonacci,  
 what's the best strategy?

(Are you guaranteed to  
 lose against a savvy  
 opponent?)