

Number Theory Section Summary: 3.2

The Sieve of Eratosthenes

1. Summary

There are an infinite number of primes! You knew that, but now you should be able to prove it.

A composite number a can be written as bc , where, WLOG, $b \leq c$. If b is prime, then, since $b^2 \leq bc = a$, then a possesses a prime less than \sqrt{a} ; if not, then b contains a prime factor p , which must be less than \sqrt{a} — and this factor must also be a prime factor of a , since $p|b$, and $b|a$. It suffices then, to look for prime factors of a among the primes $\leq \sqrt{a}$.

Example: Determine whether 3731 is prime, or find its prime factorization.

$$7 \mid 3731 : 7 \cdot 533 = 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 41$$

The sieve of Eratosthenes is an interesting historical artifact: an early method for determining primes.

Example (homework): #2, p. 50.

2. Theorems

Theorem 3.4 (Euclid): The primes are infinite in number.

Theorem 3.5: If p_n is the n^{th} prime, then $p_n \leq 2^{2^n - 1}$.

Corollary: For $n \geq 1$, there are at least $n + 1$ primes less than 2^{2^n} .

3. Properties/Tricks/Hints/Etc.

Between $n \geq 2$ and $2n$ there is at least one prime, from which one can show that for $n \geq 2$,

$$p_n < 2^n.$$

1

Thm 3.4 : The primes are infinite.

By contradiction, suppose not: there are only n primes, $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} = S$.

Consider

$$P = p_1 \cdots p_n + 1.$$

$P > p_i$ for all

$i = 1, \dots, n$. If its prime were done (that's a contradiction) because $P \neq p_i$ for any i , so the set S didn't include all primes ($P \notin S$).

So assume P is composite - which means it has a prime factorization. In particular it has a prime factor q . But $q \notin S$ either, because if $q = p_i$ for some i , then $q \mid P$ and $q \mid p_1 \cdots p_n$,

so

$$q \mid P - p_1 \cdots p_n = 1.$$

That can't be, so the prime of P , q , wasn't in S . But that's a contradiction! S was supposed to contain all the primes!

\therefore The primes are infinite in number.

Alternate choice for P :

$p_n! + 1$, where p_n is the "biggest" prime.

Theorem 3.5: If p_n is the n^{th} prime, then

$$p_n \leq 2^{2^{n-1}}$$

By induction (2nd principle):

Base case: $n=1 \Rightarrow \boxed{p_1 = 2}$
(first prime)
 $n=1 \quad 2 \leq 2^{2^0} = 2 \quad \checkmark$

\Rightarrow : Assume true through $n=k$, &
consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_{k+1} &\leq \frac{p_1 \cdots p_k + 1}{P} && P \text{ from the} \\
 &\leq 2^{2^0} \cdot 2^{2^1} \cdots 2^{2^{k-1}} + 1 && \text{previous product} \\
 &= 2^{\underbrace{1+2+2^2+\cdots+2^{k-1}}_{\text{geometric series}}} + 1 && \text{itself or it has} \\
 &&& \text{a factor } q / \\
 &&& p_k < q < P
 \end{aligned}$$

$$1+2+\cdots+2^{k-1} = \frac{2^k - 1}{2-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &2^k - 1 \\
 &= 2^{2^k-1} + 1 \\
 &\leq 2^{2^k-1} + 2^{2^k-1} \\
 &= 2 \cdot 2^{2^k-1} = 2^{2^k} \\
 &= 2^{2^{(k+1)-1}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$p_{k+1} \leq 2^{2^{(k+1)-1}}$$

\therefore True by induction.

#3 Given that $p \nmid n$ for all primes $p \leq \sqrt[n]{n}$,
show that n is either a prime or the product
of two primes.

By contradiction, assume 3 factors,

$$\sqrt[n]{n} < p_1 \leq p_2 \leq p_3.$$

$$n = p_1 p_2 p_3 \geq p_1^3 > (\sqrt[n]{n})^3 = n$$

Contradiction. Hence n can have at most 2 prime factors (either it's prime or has two prime factors). ✓

#5 Three digit composites must have a prime factor ≤ 31 .

By contradiction; assume not. Then

$$n = p \cdot q \cdot m \quad p \leq q, \text{ } m \geq 31 \text{ composite.}$$

$$n = pqm \geq 37 \cdot 37 \cdot m \geq 37^2 > 1000;$$

so n was not a 3-digit number.

Contradiction.