Day 7, <u>MAT115</u>

Last Time Next Time

- Announcements:
 - issue. If NKU is closed Friday, due to weather, then we will not have class on Friday (even

• This session will be **Zoom only**, because our building is closed due to a serious water

though it seems that we should -- just zoomed like we're doing today). That's the law, handed down by our Department Chair!:)

- Zooms and the play-by-plays 0 You have an <u>assignment for next time</u>.
- beautiful illustrations!). My other section was expected to illustrate the graphs.
- I thought that we'd take a look at a couple of the "winning" entries.

• You had an assignment (to illustrate our Tree Terminology page with your very own,

Graphs

- <u>Trees</u>

In every thing we do in this class, I'm looking for you to express yourself. For that reason Amanda Murray is the grand prize winner:

Tree 1 Also, an Internal Node Root Node Level 0 Parent Node Depth of the Level 1 parent node is 1. Child Child Child Child

Level 2 Also, a Leaf Node Siblings Tree 2 Right Child Left Child Level 0 has a depth of 2. Level 1 This is also a binary 🐄 Level 2 and a perfect binary & Thas a depth of 3. Level 3 This is an example of a complete binary • Your first **IMath** homework is due this Friday. Last time: • We discussed the meaning of numbers, like "six". What is that thing? How do you know if something possesses "sixness"? It turns out that a key concept is that of a "one-to-one" correspondence, and that's what

you're to read about, by next class. The reading is full of really interesting historical and anthropological information, much of which we'll touch on at some point in this course!.

What is Prime Factorization?

- So it's worthwhile reading, for next time and into the future.... Now let's get to today's "Question of the day":
- - Let's start to get at that question by considering Chapter 2 from our text: Rock Groups. To explore, it's good to use pennies (rather than rocks); or, better yet, M&Ms....:)

(The first -- of three -- great factorizations in mathematics that we'll look at.)

We want to understand each of these notions:

composite, square, and triangular numbers but understand them as concrete things, as objects (e.g. pennies).

So we're interested in arranging our pennies (i.e. rocks) in groups with certain properties. All numbers of pennies can be arranged into a line (this is Humphrey's "Furry Arms"

method -- fish fish fish fish fish fish):

prime,

But anything that "all numbers can do" is sort of uninteresting, in a way. It's so common!:)

Odd

2*n

2*n+1

2*n+0

2*n+1

It might be better to write them this way:

• rectangles (of more than one row):

Odd

Even

Evens:

Odds:

Evens:

Odds:

Even

just two rows. It is about odd numbers and even numbers. What does Strogatz notice about the sum of

He makes a nice rock group picture to help us to understand:

odd and odd odd and even even and even?

• There is an interesting observation made by the author, about ways of putting coins into

Let's make a table to illustrate that:

Some numbers of pennies can be arranged into

Rock groups suggest formulas for representing even and odd numbers:

ent results

W 600

fish fish fish, fish fish fish

Numbers that can be represented as rectangles (with more than one row -- e.g. those

• You'll know why squares are special if you make some with pennies: 1, 4, 9, 16, and

But Strogatz makes them in an interesting way, illustrating a theorem in

(Notice that the penguins said "You got it!" -- they were able to count to six,

Recall that this is how Humphrey said the number of fish -- he blocked the fish into two groups of three fish ---

evidently....)

so on.

mathematics:

of consecutive odd numbers. Very odd, indeed!:)

six fish) are called "composite" numbers.

In this figure we see that $5^2=1+3+5+7+9$ You can SEE that it's true! You're convinced that it's true! (I hope....) triangles lead to "triangular numbers"

One can conclude from this figure that a square number is the sum of a succession

But Strogatz builds these triangular numbers in such a way that you can again see a

000000000

figure,

• Now, onto primes:

You can try with 7:

But you'll never succeed. (Rats.)

theorem:

If a counting number greater than one can be expressed as a rectangle with more than

Another way of saying that a number is composite is to say that it can be broken up into

groups (each with more than one member) that can each be put into one-to-one

another -- and just one partner. Perfect for a dance....).

correspondence with each other (that is, each element in one group has a partner in

one row, then it is **composite**; otherwise, it is **prime** (for example the number 7).

You can't express 7 as a rectangle, except as a single row of seven coins:

Triangular numbers are created by adding up consecutive integers: in the case of this

 $1+2+3+4+5+6+7+8+9+10=55=\frac{10*11}{2}$

Strogatz shows this in an unusual way: by doubling his work!

As mentioned last time, some numbers seem very gregarious; they play well with other numbers (e.g. 6, which seems particular friendly with these touching primes, 2 and 3). • Last time I asked How can we understand "6" without understanding "5" as well? (and

product of primes (if 1 were prime). So we cast it out!

the conclusion is supposed to be true, too. The conclusion follows from the hypotheses. In this case, the theorem a square number is the sum of a succession of consecutive odd numbers

1. A theorem is a statement which has hypotheses and a conclusion; if the hypotheses are true, then

figure above. Each of those groups of five matches up perfectly with the number of fingers on a hand, in a one-to-one correspondence; and perfectly with each other. Notice that we said **greater than one** in the definition above. The number 1 is special, and considered neither prime nor composite. We've already heard this important rule (theorem), which you probably learned at some point in your mathematical education, which is called the "Prime Factorization theorem": Every natural number (other than 1) is either prime, or can be expressed as a product of primes in exactly one way (in a unique way). If we allowed 1 to be prime, it would screw up this theorem! For example, we could write but also

4 = 2 * 2 * 1

Risa factoria

It would screw up the uniqueness! There wouldn't be "exactly one way" to write 4 as a

So 25 is an example, a square. It can be broken into five groups of five, as we see in the

At this point, we turn to "The Loneliest Numbers": 1. One is the loneliest number, but that 2. Two can be as bad as one; it's the loneliest number since the number one And yet: two is a prime sitting right next to another prime -- three. They are the only two primes that touch -- how touching! (Sorry.) 2 is also the only **even** prime -- because every other even number can be made into a rectangle with two rows in one-to-one correspondence -- a perfect dance -- and so every other even number is composite.

thus 4, 3, 2, 1?)

 K_3

And I suggested that we might use complete graphs to understand these counting numbers:

of "one" (K_1) ; "three" (K_3) contains three copies of "two"; etc. There are six copies of "one" within "six" (K_6) . Can you see the K_4 can be thought of as a tetrahedron? Can you see the five tetrahedra within five (K_5) ? • However, primes may allow us to understand "six" without understanding all the numbers that come before: it will only be necessary to understand the prime numbers.

• We'll build trees to show the prime factors of composite numbers.

Let's use **trees** to try to understand them.

its own "factorization".

24

56

If we think of counting numbers that way, we can see that "two" (K_2) contains two copies

We'll think of primes as isolated vertices (very lonely looking!) A prime number is

We'll end on some examples, and get back to these next time. Let's build some trees for

In the end, there's this notion: there is a one-to-one correspondence between counting numbers and their prime factorizations (with primes as their own partners). Website maintained by <u>Andy Long</u>. Comments appreciated. Updated on 02/02/2022 12:50:42

can be expressed as "If (a counting number is a square), then (it can be written as a sum of consecutive odd numbers starting from 1). The **hypothesis** is that (a counting number is a square); the **conclusion** is that (it can be written as

a sum of consecutive odd numbers starting from 1).