## 1 Climbing some trees...

Problem 1: Here's a really interesting problem, that connects trees to recursion, and the

Catalan numbers in particular: Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , find s(n) – the number of structurally unique binary search trees that store values 1 through n. Find the recursion relation for s(n), and its first 8 values. (For convenience let's define s(0) = 1.) This figure shows that s(3) = 5:

1	3	3	1	2	1
۸.	/	1	1	١	١
3	2	1	1	з	2
1	1	N			Λ.
2	1	2			3

Using the same quaint graphing technique, but emphasizing the symmetry, we see that there are five possible BSTs for n = 3:

1	1	2	2	3	3
\	\	/	\	/	/
3	2	1	3	2	1
/	\			/	\
2	3			1	2

Or maybe this representation is more suggestive (with the n = 2 and n = 1 cases thrown in for good measure):

1 1	2	33	
$\setminus$	/ \	/ /	
32	1 3	2 1	2 1
/ \		/ \	/ \
2 3	5	1 2	1 2 1

**Problem 2:** To test blood from blood donors for coronavirus, small samples of blood from  $n = 2^m$  ( $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ) donors are pooled, and then **the pooled sample is tested**. If negative, great – all clear, after just one test; if positive, however, apply the strategy recursively on pooled samples of two halves, one after the other.

- a. Best case scenario: Suppose we know that only one person is infected (and the lab knows it, too): how many Covid-19 tests will the lab do in order to identify the individual? (You might consider some simple cases.) How does that compare to just testing everyone?
- b. Worst case scenario: Suppose we know that all are infected (but the lab doesn't know it). How many tests will the lab do in order to determine that? How does that compare to just testing everyone?
- c. **Reality**: is generally somewhere between best and worst cases. At what prevalence (infection rate) will sequential testing of all donors require about the same number of tests as this divide-and-conquer strategy?