

#8, section 4.4

Note Title

3/3/2006

Here's #8 in all its glory, without prior simplification to the smallest set of consistent equations.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad x \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$$

$$\textcircled{5} \quad x \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$$

$$\textcircled{6} \quad x \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$$

The first equation yields

$$x = 2k+1 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

$$\therefore 2k \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \equiv 4$$

$$k \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= 2(2+3l) + 1 \\ &= 5 + 6l \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore 6l \equiv -2 \pmod{4}, \text{ or}$$

$$2l \equiv -2 \pmod{4}, \text{ so}$$

$$l \equiv -1 \pmod{2} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$

$$x = 5 + 6(1+2m)$$

$$= 11 + 12m$$

Note: equation
 $\textcircled{5}$ is covered
 here, so
 we would
 know not
 to pursue
 it further.

Here's the mistake
 you'll make if you're
 not careful, which
 will "lose" some solutions.

$$x = 11 + 12m \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$$

$$\therefore 12m \equiv 2m \equiv 8 \pmod{5}$$

$$m \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$$

$$x = 11 + 12(4 + 5n)$$

$$= 59 + 60n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$$

$\therefore 60n \equiv 6 \pmod{6}$, or $0 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$; i.e.,
there is no additional constraint imposed by
this equation.

$$x = 59 + 60n \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$$

$$\therefore 60n \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$$

$$4n \equiv 4 \pmod{7} \Rightarrow n \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$$

$$\therefore x = 59 + 60(1 + 7s)$$

$$= 119 + 420s, \text{ or}$$

$$\boxed{x \equiv 119 \pmod{420}}$$

119 eggs.

So we could have cut down on our effort a little, by making a few observations, but we ended up getting the right answer.

We need to be cautious when cancelling common factors or we might miss some solutions.

If we keep our eyes open, we can see that some equations aren't necessary (e.g. eq ⑤, which imposed no additional constraint).