

Number Theory Section Summary: 11.2

Fermat's Last Theorem

1. Summary

So we left things at all solutions of

$$x^2 + y^2 = z^2 \tag{1}$$

which can be written as

$$(2st)^2 + (s^2 - t^2)^2 = (s^2 + t^2)^2$$

for integers $s > t > 0$ such that $\gcd(s, t) = 1$ with $s \not\equiv t \pmod{2}$. In particular, there ARE integer solutions of that equation (1); so what about

$$x^n + y^n = z^n?$$

One observation is that, if $n = pq$, then

$$(x^p)^q + (y^p)^q = (z^p)^q$$

and

$$(x^q)^p + (y^q)^p = (z^q)^p$$

so that we simultaneously have solutions for all powers which are factors of n . Thus it suffices to ask if we can solve

$$x^p + y^p = z^p$$

for primes p : if we can't solve it for the prime factors of n , then we can't solve it for n itself.

Since we CAN find solutions for $p = 2$, it's certainly possible that we have solutions for $n = 2^k$, for $k \geq 2$. Fermat, however, took care of that....

Andrew Wiles recently (1994) proved that no solutions in integers exist for any power n greater than 2. In this section, we see how Fermat (who professed to have a proof of this theorem) solved the case of $n = 4$.

2. Theorems

Theorem 11.3: The Diophantine equation $x^4 + y^4 = z^2$ has no solution in the positive integers x , y , and z .

Proof: by Fermat's method of "infinite descent": one obtains from a triple a strictly smaller triple, and so on *ad infinitum*; but the positive integers cannot be reduced *ad infinitum* – contradiction!

Corollary: The equation $x^4 + y^4 = z^4$ has no solution in the positive integers x , y , and z .

Corollary: The equation $x^{4k} + y^{4k} = z^{4k}$ has no solution in the positive integers x , y , and z .

Hence, the only exponents of interest left to prove are odd primes....

Theorem 11.4: The Diophantine equation $x^4 - y^4 = z^2$ has no solution in the positive integers x , y , and z .

3. Properties/Tricks/Hints/Etc.

- Fermat (1637) writes

"It is impossible to write a cube as a sum of two cubes, a fourth power as the sum of two fourth powers, and, in general, any power beyond the second as a sum of two similar powers. For this, I have discovered a truly wonderful proof, but the margin is too small to contain it."

Fermat proved the case $n = 4$, and hence $n = 4k$.

- Euler (1770) proved the result for the case $p = 3$;
- Dirichlet and Legendre (1825) independently proved the case $p = 5$;
- Lamé (1829) proved the case $p = 7$;

- Kummer (mid 1800s) proved the result for a large class of primes p (called the *regular primes*);
- Faltings (1983) proved that all powers $n > 2$ could have only finitely many triples as solutions; and
- Andrew Wiles (1994) proved the whole enchilada....

Proof of 11.3 : (by contradiction)

$x^4 + y^4 = z^2$ has no soln. in the positive integers.

Assume that \exists a soln. in pos. integers (x, y, z) . Choose a soln. with the minimal value of z (there must be one by well-ordering).

Claim: $\gcd(x, y) = 1$. Assume not: $\gcd(x, y) = d > 1$. Then $d \mid z \Rightarrow$ we could have factored out a term involving d :

$$(dx_1)^4 + (dy_1)^4 = d^4(x_1^4 + y_1^4) = z^2 \Rightarrow$$

$$d^2 \mid z : z = d^2 z_1 \Rightarrow$$

$$x_1^4 + y_1^4 = z_1^2 ;$$

but $z_1 < z$, which contradicts the choice of z as minimal. So $\gcd(x, y) = 1$.

Rewrite $x^4 + y^4 = z^2$ as

$$(x^2)^2 + (y^2)^2 = z^2 ,$$

i.e. (x^2, y^2, z) is a Pythagorean triple - is it primitive? Is $\gcd(x^2, y^2, z) = 1$?

$$\gcd(x, y) = 1 \Rightarrow \gcd(x^2, y^2) = 1 \Rightarrow$$

$$\gcd(x^2, y^2, z) = 1.$$

So this triple is primitive, & we can do the "s-t" thing:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x^2 = 2st \\ y^2 = s^2 - t^2 \\ z = s^2 + t^2 \end{array} \right\} \text{ where } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} s > t > 0 \\ s \not\equiv t \pmod{2} \\ \gcd(s, t) = 1 \end{array} \right.$$

Which of s & t is even? Well, y is odd, so y^2 is odd: $y \equiv 1 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4} \Rightarrow y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

Assume s is even:

$$\begin{aligned} y^2 = s^2 - t^2 &\equiv 0 - 1 \pmod{4} \\ &\equiv -1 \pmod{4} \end{aligned}$$

Contradiction. Hence t is even:

$$\therefore t = 2r \quad \exists r.$$

Now $x^2 = 2st = 2 \cdot s \cdot 2r = 4sr$

$$\therefore \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^2 = sr$$

If $\gcd(s, r) = 1$, then we can invoke lemma 2 from §11.1. $\gcd(s, t) = \gcd(s, 2r) = 1 \Rightarrow \gcd(s, r) = 1$. So we can invoke lemma 2:

$$\therefore s = z_1^2$$

$$r = w^2$$

Back to $y^2 = s^2 - t^2$, or

$$t^2 + y^2 = s^2$$

(which is primitive since $\gcd(t, s) = 1$). So let's use the "s-t" trick again:

$$t = 2uv = 2r$$

$$\therefore uv = r = w^2$$

u & v are relatively prime, so that u & v are squares by lemma 2:

$$u = x_1^2$$

$$v = y_1^2$$

$$\therefore s = u^2 + v^2 = x_1^4 + y_1^4 = z_1^2,$$

so (x_1, y_1, z_1) also solve the original equation; the problem is that

$$z_1 < z_1^2 = s < s^2 < s^2 + t^2 = z$$

contradicting the choice of z as minimal.

$$\therefore \nexists \text{ solns of } x^4 + y^4 = z^2$$

in the positive integers.

Suppose \exists a soln. in the pos. integers to

$$x^4 + y^4 = z^4 = (z^2)^2;$$

then we have a soln to

$$x^4 + y^4 = w^2$$

#4 p 247

a. $x^2 + y^2 = z^2 - 1$

$$x^2 - y^2 = w^2 - 1$$

$$x = 2n^2 \quad \wedge \quad y = 2n \quad n \geq 1$$

$z = 2n^2 + 1$
$w = 2n^2 - 1$

c. $x^2 + y^2 = z^2 + 1$

$$x^2 - y^2 = w^2 + 1$$

has infinitely many
solns. x, y, z, w .

$$z = 4n^2(2n^2 + 1)$$

$$w = 4n^2(2n^2 - 1)$$

$$b. \quad x^2 + y^2 = z^2$$

$$x^2 - y^2 = w^2$$

has no pos integer
solutions

$$2x^2 = z^2 + w^2 \quad \dots$$

$$\begin{aligned} (x^2 + y^2)(x^2 - y^2) &= x^4 - y^4 = z^2 w^2 \\ &= (zw)^2 \end{aligned}$$

which has no solutions in the pos. integers.