

## Number Theory Section Summary: 3.1

### The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

#### 1. Definitions

**prime, composite:** An integer  $p > 1$  is called a **prime number**, or simply a **prime**, if its only positive divisors are 1 and  $p$ ; otherwise it is called **composite**.

#### 2. Theorems

**Theorem 3.1:** If  $p$  is prime and  $p|ab$ , then  $p|a$  or  $p|b$ .

**Proof:** Given  $p$  prime and  $p|ab$ .

Assume  $p \nmid a$ . Therefore  $\gcd(p, a) = 1$ , and  $p \mid b$  by Euclid's lemma.

Symmetrically, if  $p \nmid b$  then  $p \mid a$ . (Possibly  $p$  divides both!). But certainly  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$ .

**Corollary 1:** If  $p$  is prime and  $p|a_1a_2\dots a_n$ , then  $p|a_k$  for some  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

Proof: Assume  $p$  prime, and  $p \nmid a_1 \dots a_n$ .

By induction:

Anchor:  $p \nmid a_1, a_2$ , then  $p \nmid a_1$  or  $p \nmid a_2$  by the theorem.  
 $P(k) \Rightarrow P(k+1)$

Induction step: Assume true for  $n=k$ ; prove true for  $n=k+1$ .

Demonstrate  $P(k+1)$ :  $p \nmid a_1 \dots a_{k+1} \Rightarrow p \nmid a_j$  for some  $j/1 \leq j \leq k+1$ .

$$a_1 \dots a_{k+1} = (a_1 \dots a_k) a_{k+1}$$

$p \nmid (a_1 \dots a_k) a_{k+1}$ , so by the theorem  $p \nmid a_1 \dots a_k$  or  $p \nmid a_{k+1}$ . So either  $p \nmid a_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$  (by assumption) or

**Corollary 2:** If  $p, q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n$  are all prime and  $p|q_1q_2\dots q_n$ , then  $p|q_{k+1}$  for some  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

$\therefore p \nmid a_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k+1$ .

**Theorem 3.2 (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic):** Every positive integer  $n > 1$  can be expressed as a product of primes uniquely (up to the order of the primes in the product).  $\therefore P(k+1)$   
**Q.E.D.**

**Corollary:** Any positive integer  $n > 1$  can be written uniquely in a canonical form

$$n = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_r^{k_r}$$

where, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$  each  $k_i$  is a positive integer and each  $p_i$  is a prime, with  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_r$ .

**Theorem 3.3 (Pythagoras):**  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.



Let's look at the alternative proof that our author suggests:

Assume

$$\sqrt{2} = \frac{a}{b}$$

$a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$   
 in reduced form:  
 $\gcd(a, b) = 1$

Bad assumption!

$$\exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z} /$$

$$ax + by = 1$$

$$\sqrt{2}(ax + by) = \sqrt{2}$$

$$a = \sqrt{2}b$$

$$\sqrt{2}ax + \sqrt{2}by = \sqrt{2}$$

$$\sqrt{2}a = 2b$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2}ax + ay &= \sqrt{2} \\ \underbrace{2b^2x + ay}_{\text{integer}} &= \sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Z}! \quad \underline{\text{Contradiction!}} \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Properties/Tricks/Hints/Etc.

Pythagoras's theorem above is the one that purportedly caused one of his disciples his life: the hapless fellow disclosed the fact that there were these irrational numbers that couldn't be written as the ratio of integers, and other members of the school sent him to swim with the fishes... at least that's the story! ;)

### 4. Summary

Hopefully you're well aware of these results: it's just now that we're seeing how they're deduced from simple principles, such as the well-ordering principle (there it is again!).

# If  $p \geq 5$  then  $p^2 + 2$  is composite.  
 $\frac{p^4}{p^4}$   $\overbrace{p \text{ takes form } 6k+1 \text{ or } 6k+5}$

Suppose  $p = 6k+1$

$$(6k+1)^2 + 2 = \underbrace{36k^2 + 12k + 3}_{3 \mid \text{this}} \Rightarrow \text{composite}$$

Suppose  $p = 6k+5$

$$(6k+5)^2 + 2 = 36k^2 + 60k + 25 + 2$$

$$= \underbrace{36k^2 + 60k + 27}_{3 \mid \text{this}} \Rightarrow \text{composite}$$

Q.E.D.

3

# 6 a)  $n^4 + 4$ ,  $n > 1$ , is composite.

$$\begin{aligned} &(n^2 + 2n + 2)(n^2 - 2n + 2) \\ &= n^4 + [a - b]n^3 + [4 - ab]n^2 \\ &\quad + [2a - 2b]n + 4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{a = b = 2}$$

$$n^4 + 4 = (n^2 + 2n + 2)(n^2 - 2n + 2)$$

↑      ↗  
make sure neither  
factor is 1      ✓

$\Rightarrow n^4 + 4$  is composite

c)  $8^n + 1$ ,  $n \geq 1$  is composite

$$2^n + 1 \mid 2^{3n} + 1$$

$$8^n + 1 = (2^3)^n + 1 = 2^{3n} + 1$$

$$2^n + 1 \mid 8^n + 1$$

So we've found a factor,  $\neq 8^n + 1$ ,  $+ \neq 1$ ,  
Hence  $8^n + 1$  is composite.

d)  $n > 11$  can be written as the sum of  
two composite numbers.

Suppose  $n$  even,  $n = 2k$ .

Consider

$$n - 4 = 2k - 4 = 2(k-2)$$

$$n = 4 + 2(k-2)$$

$\nwarrow$  two composites       $n > 11 \rightarrow k \geq 5$

Suppose  $n$  odd,  $n = 2k+1$ .

Consider

$$n-9 = (2k+1)-9 = 2(k-4)$$

$$n = 9 + 2(k-4)$$

$\nearrow \searrow$   
Two composite numbers,  
provided  $k \geq 6$